

1 **A1.3 Uwharrie National Forest**

2 **A1.3.1 Setting and Context of the Uwharrie National Forest**

3 The Uwharrie National Forest (originally called the Uwharrie Reservation) was first
4 purchased by the federal government in 1931 during the Great Depression. In 1961,
5 President John F. Kennedy proclaimed the federal lands in Montgomery, Randolph, and
6 Davidson Counties (Fig. A1.6). The UNF is within a two-hour drive of North Carolina’s
7 largest population centers, including Winston-Salem, Greensboro, Charlotte, Raleigh, and
8 Durham. The forest is fragmented into 61 separate parcels, which pose unique forest
9 management challenges (Fig. A1.6). Therefore, much of UNF has been modified from a
10 natural to a managed ecological condition. UNF has a rolling topography, with elevation
11 ranging from 122 to 305 m above sea level. Although small by most national forest
12 standards (20,383 ha), the UNF provides a variety of natural resources, including clean
13 rivers and streams, diverse vegetation for scenery, wildlife habitat, and wood products.
14 There is also a wide variety of recreational activities, and UNF is a natural setting for
15 tourism and economic development.

16
17
18
19 **Figure A1.6.** Map of the Uwharrie National Forest in North Carolina.⁷

20
21 The UNF is rich in history. It is named for the Uwharrie Mountains, some of the oldest in
22 North America. According to geologists, the Uwharries were created from an ancient
23 chain of volcanoes. The 1,000-foot hills of today were once 20,000-foot peaks.

24
25 The UNF is located at the crossroads of both prehistoric and historic settlements. Their
26 legacy is one of the greatest concentrations of archeological sites in the Southeast. Left
27 undisturbed, these sites and artifacts give a record of our heritage. The first large gold
28 discovery in the United States occurred around 1799 at the nearby Reed Gold Mine. In
29 the early 1800s, gold was found in the Uwharries, with a later boom during the
30 depression of the 1930s. Old mining sites still remain, and part-time prospectors still pan
31 in the streams and find traces of gold dust.

32
33 Today, the UNF is dynamic and responsive to public needs. It continues to provide
34 timber, wildlife, water, recreation opportunities, and a natural setting for tourism and
35 economic development. Recreational use is growing, especially in the Badin Lake area
36 and along the 20-mile Uwharrie National Recreation Trail. Badin Lake is one of the
37 largest bodies of water included in the series of reservoirs within the Yadkin-PeeDee
38 River drainage system. The entire watershed is known as the Uwharrie Lakes Region.
39 Badin Lake is a popular setting for many different recreation activities, including
40 camping, hiking, fishing, boating, and hunting. The area is rich game land for deer and
41 wild turkey, and a home for bald eagles.

⁷ **USDA Forest Service**, 2007: Uwharrie National Forest Uwharrie Ranger District. University of North Carolina at Asheville National Forest Service Website, http://www.cs.unca.edu/nfsnc/uwharrie_plan/maps/uwharrie_map.pdf, accessed on 7-30-2007.

1

2 **A1.3.2 Current Uwharrie NF Planning Context, Forest Plan Revision and Climate Change**

3 The National Forest Management Act of 1976 requires that all NFs periodically revise
4 their forest management plan.⁸ Existing environmental and economic situations within
5 the forest are examined. Then plans are revised to move the forest closer to a desired
6 future condition. The current UNF forest management plan was originally developed in
7 1986, and UNF is now undergoing a Forest Plan Revision (FPR).

8

9 The revised forest plan focuses on three themes. Two of the themes—restoring the forest
10 to a more natural ecological condition, and providing outstanding and environmentally
11 friendly outdoor recreation opportunities—will likely be affected by a changing climate.
12 The third theme of the FPR (*i.e.*, better managing heritage (historical and archeological)
13 resources) will likely not be significantly affected by climate change. Thus, this case
14 study examines potential impacts on the first two UNF FPR themes.

15

16 The revised forest plan will suggest management strategies that help reduce risks to the
17 health and sustainability of UNF associated with projected impacts of a changing climate.
18 Therefore, the UNF case study focuses on specific recommended modifications to the
19 forest plan. This level of specificity was not possible with either the Tahoe or Olympic
20 National Forest case studies because neither has recently undergone a forest plan revision
21 that incorporates climate change impacts into forest management decision making.

22 **A1.3.2.1 Revised Forest Plan Theme 1: Restoring the Forest to a More Natural Ecological**
23 **Condition**

24 Prior to the 1940s, fires were a regular occurrence in southern U.S. ecosystems (Whitney,
25 1994). The reoccurrence interval varied among vegetation types, with more frequent fires
26 being less intense than less frequent fires (Wear and Greis, 2002). Upland oak (*Quercus*
27 sp.) and hickory (*Carya* sp.) forests would burn at an interval of 7–20 years with flame
28 heights of less than one m (3.3 ft.). These fires would kill thin-barked tree species such as
29 red maple (*Acer rubrum*), sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*), and tulip poplar
30 (*Liriodendron tulipifera*), while leaving the more fire-resistant oaks and hickories alive.
31 Pine ecosystems had a shorter fire return interval of 3–5 years, with flame heights
32 reaching 1–2 m (3.3–6.6 ft.), thus favoring fire- and drought-resistant longleaf (*Pinus*
33 *palustris*) and shortleaf (*Pinus echinata*) pines more than loblolly pines. The fires also
34 removed much of the mid-canopy vegetation and promoted light-demanding grasses and
35 herbs.⁹ Deciduous and coniferous tree species are equally represented in UNF. However,
36 a higher percent of the conifers are in loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*) plantations than would
37 have historically occurred, because of the planting emphasis of this species over the past
38 40 years.⁹

39

⁸ 16 U.S.C. §1600-1614

⁹ **Uwharrie National Forest**, 2007: *Proposed Uwharrie National Forest Land Management Plan*. Available from http://www.cs.unca.edu/nfsnc/uwharrie_plan/wo_review_draft_plan.pdf. USDA Forest Service, Asheville, NC.

1 Climate change is projected to increase the number and severity of wildfires across the
2 southern United States in the coming years (Bachelet *et al.*, 2001). As part of its FPR,
3 UNF plans to restore approximately 120 ha (296 acres) of loblolly pine plantation to
4 more fire-resistant ecosystem types (*e.g.*, longleaf pine) each year.⁹ This management
5 shift will restore UNF to a more historically natural condition and reduce catastrophic
6 wildfire risk associated with an increase in fuel loading (Stanturf *et al.*, 2002; Busenberg,
7 2004) and hotter climate (Bachelet *et al.*, 2001).

8 **A1.3.2.2 Revised Forest Plan Theme 2: Provide Outstanding and Environmentally**
9 **Friendly Outdoor Recreation Opportunities**

10 Recreation opportunities provided by UNF are an important ecosystem service to the
11 local and regional communities. The proximity to large population centers and diverse
12 interest in outdoor activities make UNF a destination for many groups that use the trails
13 and water bodies located within the forest. The continued quality of these trails, streams,
14 and lakes are of very high importance to UNF's mission.

15
16 During the 20th century the frequency of extreme precipitation events has increased, and
17 climate models suggest that rainfall intensity will continue to increase during the 21st
18 century (Nearing, 2001). Soil erosion occurs when the surface soil is exposed to rainfall
19 and surface runoff. Soil erosion is affected by many factors, including rainfall intensity,
20 land cover, soil texture and structure (soil erodibility), and land topography (slope) (Toy,
21 Foster, and Renard, 2002). Because soil erosion increases linearly with rainfall-runoff
22 erosivity, it would be expected to increase over the next 50 years in the UNF region if no
23 management measures are taken to control the current soil erosion problems. Soil erosion
24 is limited to exposed (*i.e.*, without vegetative cover) soil surfaces (Pimentel and
25 Kounang, 1998). Hiking, off-highway vehicles, and logging trails and forest harvest areas
26 represent the major types of exposed soil surface in UNF.⁹ Increased soil erosion would
27 degrade both trail and water quality.

28
29 In response to current and projected increases in soil erosion potential, the UNF FPR
30 proposes to repair authorized roads and trails, close unauthorized roads and trails,
31 minimize new road construction, and reroute needed roads that increase soil erosion. In
32 total, these measures should effectively reduce the potential impact of increased
33 precipitation intensity on soil erosion in the UNF.

34 **A1.3.3 Long-Term Natural Resource Services**

35 In addition to the objectives outlined in the Uwharrie forest plan revision, forests in the
36 United States provide valuable natural resources of clean water and wood products.
37 While the demand for U.S. pulp and paper products has decreased in recent years, it is
38 important to assess the long-term ability of the forests to supply wood resources if a
39 future need should arise. The demand for clean, dependable water is increasing within the
40 southern United States as population pressure on water resources increase. Therefore,
41 climate change impacts on UNF water yield and timber supply were also assessed in the
42 UNF Watershed Analysis Document of the FPR.

43 **A1.3.3.1 Water Yield**

1 Clean water is one of the most valuable commodities that our NFs provide. National
2 forest lands are the largest single source of water in the United States and one of the
3 original reasons that the NFS was established in 1891 (USDA Forest Service, 2000b).
4 There is concern that climate change could reduce water yield from the Uwharrie.
5 Currently, about 1,590 mm of precipitation falls in UNF every year, with close to 70%
6 (or 1,100 mm) of it evapotranspiring back to the atmosphere. The other 30% (or 490 mm)
7 leaves the forest as stream runoff and percolates downward becoming a part of the
8 groundwater.⁹ Climate change models suggest that precipitation may increase to 1,780
9 mm per year. Air temperature is also expected to increase, which will, in turn, increase
10 forest evapotranspiration. In total, stream water flow is projected to decrease by
11 approximately 10% by the middle of the 21st century if there is no change in forest
12 management (Sun *et al.*, 2005).¹⁰

13
14 Forest water use increases with increased tree stocking density and leaf area (Hatton *et*
15 *al.*, 1998; Cook *et al.*, 2002). The use of controlled fire and other forest management
16 activities that will increase tree spacing and shift the forest toward more fire- and
17 drought-tolerant tree species will also help to reduce forest water use (Heyward, 1939).
18 Based on this line of research, most of the climate change-caused reductions in water
19 yield can be compensated through this proposed change in forest management.

20 **A1.3.3.2 Timber and Pulpwood Productivity**

21 The southern United States has long been a major supplier of pulpwood and timber. But
22 because an increasing amount of timber and pulpwood is being supplied to the United
23 States by Canada, Europe, and countries in the Southern Hemisphere (USDA Forest
24 Service, 2003), national forest managers have moved away from an emphasis on timber
25 supply toward recreational opportunities and sustainable water (Apple, 1996).

26
27 Climate change will have variable impacts globally. Timber production in some
28 countries, such as Canada, may benefit from warmer climate, while countries closer to
29 the Equator may experience significant reductions in productivity (Melillo *et al.*, 1993).
30 Although NFs are not currently major sources of wood products, this situation could
31 change as timber production from other parts of the world shifts. Therefore, it is
32 important to assess the impact of climate change on forest productivity in UNF. Forest
33 productivity models suggest that although pine productivity may decrease, hardwood
34 productivity is projected to increase and the net loss of total forest productivity would be
35 small for the UNF over the next 40 years (National Assessment Synthesis Team, 2000).
36 However, the analysis did not account for the potential for increased fire occurrence,
37 which could significantly reduce overall forest volume and growth (Bachelet *et al.*,
38 2001). The proposed shift in forest tree types to more drought-tolerant and fire-resistant
39 species should also help to assure that UNF remains a timber resource for future
40 generations (Smith, Ragland, and Pitts, 1996).

¹⁰ See also Sun, G., S.G. McNulty, E. Cohen, J.M. Myers, and D. Wear, 2005: Modeling the impacts of climate change, landuse change, and human population dynamics on water availability and demands in the Southeastern US. Paper number 052219. Proceedings of the 2005 ASAE Annual Meeting, St. Joseph, MI.