Biophysical controls on nocturnal sap flow in plantation forests in a semi-arid region of northern China
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A B S T R A C T

Recent studies have recognized the importance of nocturnal sap flow (Qₙ) in affecting forest carbon and water budgets and responses to climate change at stand, regional, and global scales. However, biophysical controls on Qₙ are not fully understood, and their implications for land surface and vegetation models are unclear. We measured growing season sap flow of two widely distributed afforestation species, Pinus tabuliformis and Acer truncatum, in a middle-aged and a young monoculture forest stand, respectively, in a semi-arid mountainous area of northern China. We found a convergence in Qₙ between the two species and in the proportion of Qₙ to the total sap flow (12.2–15.0%) across species and ages. Total growing season Qₙ was higher for middle-aged stands than for young stands because of larger diameters at breast height of older stands. Nighttime vapor pressure deficit (VPDₙ) influence on Qₙ of young stands was soil moisture dependent. Nighttime wind speed indirectly controlled Qₙ through enhancing VPDₙ in young stands and directly promoted Qₙ in middle-aged stands with relatively low tree densities. For each species, both increased and decreased soil water content were able to promote Qₙ in stands with relatively dry soils, which might be due to enhanced nighttime water recharge for capacitance refilling and for avoiding hydraulic failure under prolonged water stress, respectively. Total effects of these three environmental factors explained less than 55% of the Qₙ variations. This study highlights uncertain physiological influences of VPDₙ on nighttime stomatal water loss, the nighttime water loss induced by wind, region-specific patterns of nighttime water recharge, and the importance of biotic controls on Qₙ. Our findings help to improve the existing VPD-based method for partitioning nighttime transpiration and water recharge at tree and stand levels, and suggest the importance of incorporating nocturnal sap flow into large-scale models.

1. Introduction

During the past two decades, nocturnal sap flow (Qₙ) has been widely observed for a range of tree species and forest ecosystems (Dawson et al., 2007; Forster, 2014; Zeppel et al., 2014). It can reach up to 69% of total water uptake from trees and forest stands with an average of 12% (Forster, 2014). Nocturnal sap flow can affect not only the carbon and water budget of forest ecosystems but also their response to water and nutrient stress (i.e., resistance and resilience) (e.g., Zeppel et al., 2014) as it involves two ecophysiological and ecohydrological significant components: nighttime capacitance refilling (Daley and Phillips, 2006; Pfautsch et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012a; Zeppel et al., 2010) and transpiration (Caird et al., 2007; Pfautsch et al., 2011; Phillips et al., 2010; Zeppel et al., 2012). For example, nighttime plant water movement facilitates nutrient (Goldstein et al., 2013) and O₂ (Gansert, 2003) transport for tree growth (Caird et al., 2007), which is important for nutrient-limited regions (Rohula et al., 2014; Scholz et al., 2007). Competition for water between Qₙ (e.g., refilling and/or transpiration) and hydraulic lifting/redistribution within dry rhizosphere soils reduces the forest productivity in water-limited regions (Caird et al., 2007; Domec et al., 2012; Howard et al., 2009; Yu et al., 2018). Nighttime stomatal opening can increase plant growth rate through increased carbon gains in early morning hours of the following day in one way (Fricke, 2019; Resco de Dios et al., 2019) and decrease plant growth rate through exacerbated O₃ concentrations in the other (Matyssek et al., 1995). Moreover, to avoid the hydraulic failure and drought induced mortality that frequently occurs in arid regions, both nighttime capacitance refilling and transpiration
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contribute to removing embolism and repairing cavitation (Dawson et al., 2007; Klein et al., 2018; Zeppel et al., 2019). Despite underlying advantages for competition and evolution (Fricke, 2019; Yu et al., 2019), nighttime stomatal opening and water loss have been projected globally to increase transpiration, depress carbon gain, and aggravate soil hydrologic droughts (Lombardozzi et al., 2017); therefore, it is necessary to take Qn into account in global land surface and vegetation models. However, the governing mechanisms of Qn are not fully understood, particularly for semi-arid regions (Lombardozzi et al., 2017). Plants grown in, or biogeographically originating from, arid regions generally have higher nighttime water loss than those from other regions (Yu et al., 2019; Zeppel et al., 2019), and nighttime plant water loss may accordingly be a more important process than in humid regions. To evaluate and predict how forest ecosystems respond and adapt to climate change, further understanding of the biophysical controls on Qn is therefore essential, especially for semi-arid regions.

Due to worldwide afforestation and reforestation, global forest cover increased with more young plantations during the past decades (Chen et al., 2019; Song et al., 2018), particularly in China where one-third of the forest consists of plantations with an average age less than 40 years (Zhang et al., 2017). It is therefore critical to elucidate biotic controls of tree species and age on Qn, especially for widely distributed afforestation species. So far, Qn variations across biometrics of tree and forest have been partially elucidated (e.g., see reviews by Zeppel et al., 2014). While proportions of Qn to total water uptake are variable across genera and biomes globally (Forster, 2014), two case studies have reported no species difference for plants grown on the same site, although individual variation was pronounced (Phillips et al., 2010; Zeppel et al., 2010). Given that functional convergence in water use tends to occur more in water-limited regions and is important for large-scale modeling (Zeppel, 2013), we hypothesize that both the Qn proportion in the total sap flow and Qn itself are similar between tree species in semi-arid regions. In addition, it is unclear whether young trees or forests take up more water from the soil during the nighttime than mature ones (Alvarado-Barrientos et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2016). Here, we further hypothesize that Qn and its proportion in the total sap flow are not affected by tree age.

Reported crucial environmental drivers of Qn include vapor pressure deficit (VPD) (Burgess and Dawson, 2004; Fuentes et al., 2013; Pfautsch et al., 2011; Siddiq and Cao, 2018; Zeppel et al., 2014), wind speed (Chu et al., 2009), and soil water content (Fuentes et al., 2013; Zeppel et al., 2014). However, the environmental mechanism of Qn is still uncertain and further complicated by interactions among all the above factors, particularly in the context of global climate change characterized by increasing nighttime temperature (IPCC, 2007), stilling wind (McVicar et al., 2012), and expanding drought areas (Huang et al., 2016). For example, VPD influences on Qn can be as low as nearly negligible (Dawson et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2012a), and its influences on nighttime stomatal conductance can be negative (Bakker, 1991; Bucci et al., 2004; Cavender-Bares et al., 2007; Oren et al., 2001), positive (Dawson et al., 2007; Zeppel et al., 2012), or irrelevant (Barbour et al., 2005; Cavender-Bares et al., 2007). Phillips et al. (2010) have found that it is the product of VPD and wind speed, rather than the VPD or the wind speed alone, that significantly triggers Qn. While Qn is generally reduced by hydrological drought (i.e., low soil water content) and increased by atmosphere drought (e.g., high VPD), the compound effect of these two concurrent water stresses increases Qn and its proportion to total daily sap flow (Pfautsch and Adams, 2013). Accordingly, advanced understanding of the total effect of concurrent environmental controls on Qn is needed, especially among the three widely concerned drivers, i.e., VPD, wind speed, and soil water content.

Furthermore, it would be a large step forward in our understanding of Qn if nighttime water loss, which is normally quantified through the discretely measured nighttime stomatal conductance (Caird et al., 2007; Pfautsch et al., 2011; Phillips et al., 2010; Zeppel et al., 2012), could be estimated from the widely and continuously measured sap flow (Poyatos et al., 2016). Because Qn is mainly considered as water recharge when stomata tend to be closed (Caspari et al., 1993; Pfautsch and Adams, 2013; Zeppel et al., 2010), and nighttime transpiration is thought to be promoted by VPD (Alvarado-Barrientos et al., 2015; Daley and Phillips, 2006; Gotsch et al., 2014; Pfautsch et al., 2011; Zeppel et al., 2010), a VPD-based method has been developed to differentiate nighttime transpiration and water recharge (Fisher et al., 2007; Phillips et al., 2010). It essentially treats Qn as water recharge in nights with low VPD, and as the combination of this recharge and additional transpiration in nights with high VPD. Such a method offers an opportunity for estimating the two components of Qn (Alvarado-Barrientos et al., 2015; Di et al., 2019; Karpul and West, 2016; Pfautsch and Adams, 2013; Siddiq and Cao, 2018). However, given the increasing knowledge of Qn mechanisms, the VPD-based partitioning method needs further improvements.

To better understand how a ‘greener’ world with younger plantation forests copes with climate change through altering forest diurnal water uptake patterns, this study examined biophysical controls of Qn in plantation forests with different ages in a semi-arid region. We measured sap flow of Pinus tabuliformis and Acer truncatum, two widely distributed afforestation species in semi-arid regions of northern China, in a middle-aged and a young monoculture stand each, in a mountainous area of Badaling Forest Park, Beijing, China during the growing season of 2013. Climatic variables and soil water content in the four study stands were also measured synchronously. The objectives of the study were to: (1) test the hypotheses that Qn and its proportion to daily total sap flow are not dependent on tree species and age in a semi-arid region, (2) explore total effects of concurrent environmental controls on Qn, and (3) discuss implications for the VPD-based partitioning method of Qn.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Site description and stand selection

The study was conducted in Badaling Forest Park (40°20′ N, 116°01′E), a mountainous area in Beijing, northern China with an elevation of ca. 780 m a.s.l. According to the long-term meteorological records (1981–2010) at the closest weather station (Yanqing Weather Station, 40°27′ N, 115°58′E), the region has a temperate semi-arid climate (Peel et al., 2007). The mean annual and growing season (from June to September) air temperature is 9.7 °C and 21.8 °C, respectively. The mean precipitation during the growing season is 321 mm, or 75% of the mean annual of 430 mm. About 64% of this Park is covered by plantation forests dominated by Pinus tabuliformis and Acer truncatum. Other species include Larix principis-rupprechtii, Platycladus orientalis, Pinus armandii, Picea asperata, Populus davidiana, Armeniaca sibirica, Cotinus coggyria, and Robinia pseudoacacia. Understory species are mainly Ziziphus jujuba var. spinosa and Vitex negundo. Most of the forests were planted dating back to the 1950s–1970s, and some young plantations were planted in early 2000s. Covered with a ca. 3-cm humus horizon, the 30–50 cm cinnamon soils derive from limestone, marble, conglomerate, granite, and syenite-aplite.Measurements were made in four monoculture stands: a 45-year-old Pinus tabuliformis plantation (Peel et al., 2007). The mean annual and growing season (from June to September) air temperature is 9.7 °C and 21.8 °C, respectively. The mean precipitation during the growing season is 321 mm, or 75% of the mean annual of 430 mm. About 64% of this Park is covered by plantation forests dominated by Pinus tabuliformis and Acer truncatum. Other species include Larix principis-rupprechtii, Platycladus orientalis, Pinus armandii, Picea asperata, Populus davidiana, Armeniaca sibirica, Cotinus coggyria, and Robinia pseudoacacia. Understory species are mainly Ziziphus jujuba var. spinosa and Vitex negundo. Most of the forests were planted dating back to the 1950s–1970s, and some young plantations were planted in early 2000s. Covered with a ca. 3-cm humus horizon, the 30–50 cm cinnamon soils derive from limestone, marble, conglomerate, granite, and syenite-aplite. Measurements were made in four monoculture stands: a 45-year-old P. tabuliformis, a 10-year-old P. tabuliformis, a 34-year-old A. truncatum, and a 6-year-old A. truncatum stand, hereafter referred as the MP, YP, MA, and YA, respectively (Table 1). All of these stands are on a similar slope (21–24°) and elevation (ca. 650 m a.s.l.). Soils are dominated by cinnamon soil with a 50-cm depth and are covered by Vitex negundo understories. This study was performed from the day of year (DOY) 152 to 282 (1st June to 9th October) representing the growing season in 2013. A forest stand survey was made at the beginning of the growing season.
short probes to minimize estimation errors caused by possible sap flux density gradients along the length of probe (Clearwater et al., 1999); 10 mm probes were used for trees with \( DBH < 20 \text{ cm} \) and 20 mm probes for trees with \( DBH > 20 \text{ cm} \). Moreover, sensors were installed on the north aspect of the stem, since circumferential variations in sap flux density have been shown not to generate significant errors in the whole tree sap flow measurement for \textit{Pinus} \textit{tabuliformis} and \textit{Acer truncatum} in the mountainous area of Beijing (Wang, 2006). The sensor units were wrapped with aluminum foil to shield from solar radiation and rainwater. For our homogeneous and dense plantation stands with no exposed roots, the influences of natural thermal gradients on sap flow measurements were assumed to be negligible (Do and Rocheteau, 2002a, Do and Rocheteau, 2002b; Lu et al., 2004; Lubczynski et al., 2012).

Measurements were taken every 10 s, and the averaged values for each 30 min were recorded by CR1000 data loggers (Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan, UT, USA). According to energy conservation principles, sap flux density \( J \) \( \text{g cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) can be calculated based on the temperature difference \( \Delta T \) \( \text{°C} \) between the heated and reference probes following a commonly-used equation (Granier, 1987):

\[
J = 0.0119 \left( \frac{\Delta T_m - \Delta T}{T_m} \right)^{1.231}
\]

(1)

where \( \Delta T_m \) represents the \( \Delta T \) when sap flux density is zero. Considering the sap flux density may not reach zero every night, the daily maximum values of \( \Delta T \) are not appropriate to represent \( \Delta T_m \). Accordingly, a physically-based approach was applied to determine the \( \Delta T_m \) (Oishi et al., 2016; Rabbel et al., 2016). Specifically, principles for selecting the points of \( \Delta T_m \) are: (1) solar radiation \( < 5 \text{ W m}^{-2} \), (2) \( VPD < 0.05 \text{ kPa} \) for at least 2 h (i.e., nighttime water loss is negligible), and (3) during each period that meets the above two conditions, the variable coefficient of the four highest \( \Delta T \) values is less than 0.5% (i.e., nighttime water recharge above the sensor is negligible). Afterwards, a \( \Delta T_m \) baseline is interpolated between two adjacent selected points, where \( \Delta T \) exceeds the \( \Delta T_m \) baseline is integrated into this line. The calculation of sap flux density was performed using Baseline Software (version 3.0.7; Ram Oren, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA) (Oishi et al., 2016).

To incorporate radial variations, we applied two generalized Gaussian functions in describing the radial profiles of gymnosperm and angiosperm sap flux density (Pataki et al., 2011). The mean sap flux density \( J_{\text{mean}} \text{ g cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) was calculated using the measured sap flux density \( J \) and the corresponding relative sapwood depth (i.e., the ratio of the measuring depth to the sapwood depth) (see details in Litvak et al., 2012 and Wang et al., 2012b). The estimation uncertainty produced by generalized functions was assumed minor. Daily sap flow \( Q_{\text{sap}} \text{ kg d}^{-1} \) for each sampled tree was calculated as follows:

\[
Q_{\text{sap}} = \frac{1}{1000} \sum_{j=1}^{48} J_{\text{mean},j} \times 1800 \times A_i
\]

(2)

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biometric characteristics</th>
<th>MP</th>
<th>YP</th>
<th>MA</th>
<th>YA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Species</strong></td>
<td>( P. \textit{tabuliformis} )</td>
<td>( P. \textit{tabuliformis} )</td>
<td>( A. \textit{truncatum} )</td>
<td>( A. \textit{truncatum} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age class</strong></td>
<td>Middle-aged</td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>Middle-aged</td>
<td>Young</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong> (years)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DBH(^\text{a}) (cm)</strong></td>
<td>20.3 ( \pm ) 4.4</td>
<td>9.3 ( \pm ) 1.8</td>
<td>14.8 ( \pm ) 3.0</td>
<td>5.0 ( \pm ) 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tree height(^\text{b}) (m)</strong></td>
<td>9.7 ( \pm ) 2.3</td>
<td>8.0 ( \pm ) 0.8</td>
<td>4.6 ( \pm ) 0.8</td>
<td>3.5 ( \pm ) 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stand density (stem ha(^{-1}))</strong></td>
<td>983</td>
<td>2333</td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>1714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trees for sap flow measurements</strong></td>
<td>20.4 ( \pm ) 5.8</td>
<td>9.2 ( \pm ) 1.7</td>
<td>14.8 ( \pm ) 3.0</td>
<td>5.0 ( \pm ) 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sample size</strong></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DBH(^\text{c}) (cm)</strong></td>
<td>9.3 ( \pm ) 2.5</td>
<td>9.2 ( \pm ) 2.5</td>
<td>15.5 ( \pm ) 3.3</td>
<td>5.4 ( \pm ) 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tree height(^\text{c}) (m)</strong></td>
<td>3.7 ( \pm ) 1.0</td>
<td>9.2 ( \pm ) 2.5</td>
<td>8.6 ( \pm ) 2.6</td>
<td>3.6 ( \pm ) 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) Age in 2013.

\(^{b}\) Standard deviations followed the mean values with a ‘ \( \pm \) ’.

\(^{c}\) Superscript number of diameter at breast height \( (DBH) \) represent statistical differences between stands.
where $J_{\text{tree},i,j}$ represents the $j$th $J_{\text{tree}}$ value over a one-day period, and $A_i$ represents the sapwood area of the tree. There were 48 values for each day because of the 30-min recording intervals.

Furthermore, we scaled up the tree-level sap flow to the stand level by sapwood area. Stand daily sap flow ($Q$, kg d$^{-1}$) was calculated as follows (Bai et al., 2017; Jonard et al., 2011):

$$Q_n = \frac{1}{1000} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{48} J_{\text{tree},i,j} \cdot A_i,$$

where $J_{\text{tree},i,j}$ represents the $j$th value over a one-day period of the averaged $J_{\text{tree}}$ of the $i$th DBH class, and $A_i$ represents the total sapwood area of the $i$th DBH class. The stand daily sap flow is also expressed as a unit of mm d$^{-1}$ through dividing by the density of water and the horizontal projected area of slope stands (Bai et al., 2017; Ghimire et al., 2014; Jonard et al., 2011).

In the present study, we assumed that sap flow measured at breast height corresponds to plant water use, which is the water absorbed from the soil. At daily or larger temporal scales, sap flow could also be considered equal to plant water loss to the atmosphere, i.e., canopy transpiration. At smaller temporal scales, e.g., during daytime or nighttime, sap flow only represented the plant water use rather than the synchronous plant water loss because of their hysteresis caused by the regulation of tree capacitance. The nighttime period was defined when $R_s$ was less than 5 W m$^{-2}$ (Daley and Phillips, 2006). Nevertheless, variations of daytime transpiration or capacitance utilization can be reflected by daytime sap flow ($Q_d$), since $Q_d$ is the combination of these two highly positively related fluxes (Sun et al., 2011).

### 2.5. Data analysis

Student’s $t$-test was used to examine whether nighttime climatic variables were significant or were significantly different from daily or daytime values. Given the noisy sap flow data on rainy days, all statistical analysis of sap flow was conducted for non-rainy days (Pfeutsh and Adams, 2013). Differences in sap flow between species and ages were obtained based on the two-way repeated measures ANOVA, with treating sap flow sampled trees as statistical samples. Subsequently, the source of any differences was further explored by ANCOVA. Although ANOVA is a better option when data does not follow the normal distribution, corresponding non-parametric test was still applied, and the results were similar to ANOVA (data not shown). Moreover, functional relationships between two significantly correlated variables were built using regression analysis. All statistical analyses were carried out with SPSS (version 19; Chicago, IL, USA), and significance was reported at the 0.05 level. Curve fittings were run through Sigmaplot (version 12.5; Systat Software, San Jose, CA, USA).

We developed a path coefficient model for each stand to identify and quantify direct and indirect effects of environmental factors on nocturnal sap flow ($Q_n$). Even though path analysis cannot establish the direction of causality (Jeon, 2015), the initial model includes all potential paths according to current knowledge and specific conditions in the present study. First of all, nighttime VPD ($\text{VPD}_n$), nighttime wind speed ($\nu_n$), and SWC were considered to directly affect $Q_n$. Daily SWC was used since it did not vary significantly between daytime and nighttime ($p > 0.05$) in this region. Second, $\nu_n$ may affect $Q_n$ by altering $\text{VPD}_n$ since $\nu$ could mitigate nighttime temperature inversion (Green et al., 1989). Third, considering that daytime transpiration can be partially promoted by SWC, the highly related capacitance utilization (Sun et al., 2011) could also be enhanced by SWC. Accordingly, SWC may affect $Q_n$ through nighttime water recharge demand, which can be reflected by the variations of $Q_n$. Using standardized data, the standardized path coefficients ($\rho$) and the discrepancy were calculated through the maximum likelihood method. To simplified the model structure, insignificant ($p > 0.05$) paths and paths with $\rho$ within a range
of $[-0.1, 0.1]$ were excluded recursively by removing the path with the largest $p$-value each time (Shao et al., 2016). Final adopted models met the following conditions: normed chi-squares were within the range of $[1, 3]$, the root mean square residuals were $< 0.05$, and the good fit index values were greater than 0.9 (Markus, 2012). The path analysis was applied within AMOS (version 24.0, IBM SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Climatic and soil water dynamics

During the growing season of 2013, mean daily $R_s$ was $9.80 \pm 5.58$ (SD) MJ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$. Mean daily VPD and wind speed were $0.35 \pm 0.33$ kPa and $0.13 \pm 0.10$ m s$^{-1}$, respectively, and the corresponding nighttime values were $0.13 \pm 0.17$ kPa and $0.03 \pm 0.06$ m s$^{-1}$, respectively (Fig. 1). The nighttime VPD and wind speed values were significantly greater than zero ($p < 0.05$). SWC increased similarly in response to rainfall events across four stands and quickly reduced afterwards (Fig. 1). Mean daily SWC, averaged from the measured values at the depths of 20 cm and 40 cm, was $0.15 \pm 0.02$ m$^3$ m$^{-3}$, $0.22 \pm 0.03$ m$^3$ m$^{-3}$, $0.15 \pm 0.04$ m$^3$ m$^{-3}$, and $0.11 \pm 0.03$ m$^3$ m$^{-3}$ for MP, YP, MA, and YA, respectively.

3.2. $Q_n$ and $Q_n/Q$ for different species and ages

Daily variations in $Q$ and $Q_n$ for middle-aged and young $P. tabuliformis$ and $A. truncatum$ stands were similar (Fig. 2). Total $Q$ for the MP, YP, MA, and YA during the growing season was 127.0 mm, 56.9 mm,

---

*Fig. 2.* Daily variations of stand sap flow ($Q$) and nocturnal sap flow ($Q_n$) for (A) middle-aged and (B) young $P. tabuliformis$ stands, (C) middle-aged and (D) young $A. truncatum$ stands, and (E) proportions of $Q_n$ to total sap flow ($Q_n/Q$) for each stand.
90.3 mm, and 27.9 mm, respectively, and the concurrent \(Q_n\) was 9.9 mm, 5.9 mm, 9.8 mm, and 2.9 mm, respectively. While the proportion of \(Q_n\) to \(Q\) (\(Q_n/Q\)) was similar for each stand, at 12.3 ± 12.3%, 13.1 ± 10.7%, 15.0 ± 15.4%, and 14.5 ± 14.2% for the MP, YP, MA, and YA, respectively (Fig. 2e), \(Q_n\) for \(P.\) tabuliformis was larger than for \(A.\) truncatum, and values for middle-aged stands were larger than for young stands.

### 3.3. Variations and differences between species and ages

For non-rainy days, mean daily \(Q_n\) for MP, YP, MA, and YA was 0.07 ± 0.04 mm d\(^{-1}\), 0.05 ± 0.03 mm d\(^{-1}\), 0.06 ± 0.05 mm d\(^{-1}\), and 0.02 ± 0.01 mm d\(^{-1}\), respectively (Fig. 3). Variation of \(Q_n\) within species and age was remarkably large (> 65%). In particular, \(Q_n\) variation within species of 75% and 85% was much greater than the variation between species of 44% and 2% for middle and young aged stands, respectively (Table 2). The larger \(Q_n\) values were not significant for \(P.\) tabuliformis compared with \(A.\) truncatum (\(p = 0.258\)), but were significant for middle-aged compared with young stands (\(p = 0.014\)). The significant effect of age on \(Q_n\) diminished after the effect of DBH was considered (\(p = 0.397\)) (Table 2). This finding suggests that the significant power-function relations between \(Q_n\) and DBH were independent of species and age (Fig. 4), and the significantly larger \(Q_n\) for middle-aged than for young stands derived from their significantly larger DBH (Table 1).

Meanwhile, mean daily \(Q_n/Q\) was 8.7 ± 7.9%, 10.5 ± 5.2%, 8.6 ± 7.3% and 8.6 ± 6.2% for the MP, YP, MA, and YA, respectively (Fig. 3). With more pronounced \(Q_n/Q\) variations within species or age (31–59%) than variations between species or age (8–20%) (Table 2), \(Q_n/Q\) values were not significantly different despite species and age (\(p > 0.05\)) (Table 2).

### 3.4. Total effects of environmental factors on \(Q_n\)

Nighttime vapor pressure deficit affected \(Q_n\) of young stands (Fig. 5) in a positive way in the wettest (highest SWC) young \(P.\) tabuliformis

---

**Table 2** Variations and differences for nocturnal sap flow (\(Q_n\)) and its proportion to total sap flow (\(Q_n/Q\)) with different species and ages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stands</th>
<th>Variations(^a)</th>
<th>(Q_n) (kg d(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(Q_n/Q) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For middle-aged</td>
<td>Within species</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between species</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For young</td>
<td>Within species</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between species</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For (P.) tabuliformis</td>
<td>Within age</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between age</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For (A.) truncatum</td>
<td>Within age</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between age</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statistical analysis\(^b\)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>F values</th>
<th>(p)</th>
<th>F values</th>
<th>(p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without considering covariant</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>1.353</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>7.114</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Considering covariant</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>1.303</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>1.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age*Species</td>
<td>2.148</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DBH</td>
<td>11.405</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Variations within and between groups were calculated according to Phillips et al. (2010).

\(^b\) Effects of within-subjects (repeated measures) were all insignificant (\(p > 0.05\)).

\(^c\) Results of the two-way repeated measures ANOVA.

\(^d\) Results of the two-way repeated measures ANCOVA with the covariant of DBH. Since the relationship between \(Q_n\) and diameter at breast height (DBH) followed a power function for each stand (data not shown), linearized data were used. Given the non-significant effect of age*species*DBH \((F = 1.482, p = 0.255)\), the covariant effect of DBH could be evaluated.

---

Fig. 3. Daily stand sap flow (\(Q\)) and nocturnal sap flow (\(Q_n\)) for non-rainy days. Tops of white bars equal to daily total sap flow, and gray bars represent nocturnal sap flow. Distances between the tops of the gray and the white bars represent daytime values. Error bars represent standard deviations. MP, YP, MA, and YA refer to stands of 45-year-old \(P.\) tabuliformis, 10-year-old \(P.\) tabuliformis, 34-year-old \(A.\) truncatum, and 6-year-old \(A.\) truncatum, respectively.

Fig. 4. The relation between nocturnal sap flow (\(Q_n\)) and diameter at breast height (DBH). Each symbol represents the mean value of each sampled tree in each stand during the non-rain period. Error bars represent standard deviations. The line is the fitted curve across all sampled trees.
stand ($\rho = 0.74$) and in a negative way in the driest (lowest SWC) young *A. truncatum* stand ($\rho = -0.25$). Other than increasing $VPD_n$ significantly ($\rho = 0.79$), $\nu_n$ directly enhanced the $Q_n$ of middle-aged *P. tabuliformis* ($\rho = 0.45$) and *A. truncatum* stands ($\rho = 0.39$), where their stand densities were relatively low comparing to the other two (Table 1). Combined with the direct and indirect effects, the total standardized effect of $\nu_n$ on $Q_n$ was positive for MP, YP, and MA, which was 0.45, 0.59, and 0.39, respectively, and was negative ($-0.20$) for YA (Table 3).

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effects of environmental factors</th>
<th>MP</th>
<th>YP</th>
<th>MA</th>
<th>YA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$VPD_n$</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_n$</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$SWC$</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| $MP$, $YP$, MA, and YA refer to stands of 45-year-old *P. tabuliformis*, 10-year-old *P. tabuliformis*, 34-year-old *A. truncatum*, and 6-year-old *A. truncatum*, respectively. |
| $*$ The ‘ns’ indicates the standardized total effect was insignificant.

Fig. 5. Direct and indirect effects of nighttime vapor pressure deficit ($VPD_n$), nighttime wind speed ($\nu_n$), and soil water content (SWC) on nocturnal sap flow ($Q_n$) for each stand during the non-rain period. $Q_n$ represents daytime sap flow. Standardized path coefficients ($\rho = -1$ to 1) are indicated along path arrows, where positive and negative values represent positive and negative effects, respectively. Asterisks represent significance levels. MP, YP, MA, and YA refer to stands of 45-year-old *P. tabuliformis*, 10-year-old *P. tabuliformis*, 34-year-old *A. truncatum*, and 6-year-old *A. truncatum*, respectively.

4. Discussion

4.1. Effects of tree species and age on $Q_n$ and $Q_n/Q$

We confirmed the hypothesis that $Q_n$ are similar between tree species in a semi-arid region, but rejected the hypothesis that $Q_n$ is not affected by tree age. The much greater intra-specific variation compared with the interspecific variation explained the insignificant $Q_n$ difference between *P. tabuliformis* and *A. truncatum* (Table 2). This is essentially consistent with that the larger $DBH$ for middle-aged stands (Table 1) was the source of the significantly larger $Q_n$ for middle-aged stands compared with young stands (Table 2). Inter-species $Q_n$ differences are associated with species-specific stem cuticular photosynthesis (Chen et al., 2018), leaf nitrogen concentration, extension growth, and shade tolerance (Marks and Lechowicz, 2007). Intra-species $Q_n$ differences can be detected by biometric characteristics of tree size (e.g., $DBH$ or/and canopy height) (Table 2) (Chen et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2013), since nighttime water recharge is related with tree size (Phillips et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2008). Moreover, given the large explanatory power of $DBH$ regarding variation of $Q_n$ between individuals (Fig. 4), $DBH$ could be considered as a potential metric for modeling large-scale $Q_n$ across species and ages.

We confirmed the hypothesis that $Q_n/Q$ is not dependent on tree species in a semi-arid region. With more pronounced intra-species variations than inter-species variations, $Q_n/Q$ ratios in the present semi-arid region were similar between species of two distinct plant functional types (i.e., evergreen needle-leaved and deciduous broad-leaved tree species) (Table 2). Comparable results have also been found for two co-occurring evergreen species (*Eucalyptus parramattensis* and *Angophora bakeri*) in a temperate woodland (Zeppel et al., 2010), and for eight species that belong to the subgenus Symphyomyrtus in a forest plantation (Phillips et al., 2010). Furthermore, $Q_n/Q$ differs significantly among sites rather than species (*Eucalyptus delegatensis* and *Eucalyptus pauciflora*) (Buckley et al., 2011). Together with the similar $Q_n$ in *P. tabuliformis* and *A. truncatum*, these phenomena indicate a convergence in nighttime water uptake magnitude and in nighttime proportion to the total water use for species grown on the same site.

Moreover, our observations also confirmed the hypothesis that $Q_n/Q$ is not dependent on tree age, which is different from previous observations. Yu et al. (2016) found lower $Q_n/Q$ for sapling *Populus euphratica* than for mature individuals, whereas Alvarado-Barrientos et al. (2013) found higher $Q_n/Q$ for younger *Pinus patula*. Clearly, further studies are needed to mechanistically explore forest age effects on $Q_n/Q$ for understanding dynamics of water fluxes and the
associated carbon fluxes of forest ecosystems, and for further addressing large scale questions, such as climate adaptation (Allen et al., 2010) under a ‘greening’ world (Chen et al., 2019).

4.2. Environmental effects on \( Q_n \)

4.2.1. Influences of VPD

We only found significant effects of VPD on \( Q_n \) in young stands (Fig. 5). This observation agreed with responses of \( Q_n \) to VPD observed for young *Pinus patula* (Alvarado-Barrientos et al., 2013). VPD-influenced nighttime stomatal conductance is larger for pole-size trees than for mature trees (Grulke et al., 2004). As a main transpiration driver, VPD can increase transpiration even when light is absent (Alvarado-Barrientos et al., 2015; Daley and Phillips, 2006; Gotsch et al., 2014; Pfautsch et al., 2011; Zeppel et al., 2010), facilitating \( Q_n \) in previous studies (Burgess and Dawson, 2004; Fuentes et al., 2013; Pfautsch et al., 2011; Pfautsch and Adams, 2013; Zeppel et al., 2014, 2011) as well as in the wettest young *P. tabuliformis* stand in the present study (Fig. 5). Nevertheless, associated with decreased hydraulic conductance within plant tissues (Cavender-Bares et al., 2007), stomatal closure can also be triggered by low soil moisture (Cavender-Bares et al., 2007; Cirelli et al., 2015; Dawson et al., 2007; Howard and Donovan, 2007; Zeppel et al., 2010, 2012) and atmospheric drought (Bakker, 1991; Barbour and Buckley, 2007; Bucci et al., 2004; Cirelli et al., 2015; Oren et al., 2001) during the nighttime when no light is able to induce stomatal opening with rising VPD. Therefore, in the driest young *A. truncatum* stand, it was possible that the physiological restraint of VPD on stomatal opening overwhelmed the physical promotion of VPD on stomatal water loss. As a result, nighttime transpiration (Yu et al., 2016) and the \( Q_n \) (Fig. 5) was depressed by VPD. These contrary relations imply that influences of VPD on \( Q_n \) was soil water content dependent.

4.2.2. Impacts of \( n_o \)

An increase in wind speed can lower aerodynamic resistance, accelerate water vapor diffusion from moist canopy air to dry aloft air (Kim et al., 2014) through cuticles and opened leaf stomata (Fricke, 2019), and physically increase water loss at night. However, these direct positive effects of \( n_o \) on \( Q_n \) were only significant in the middle-aged stands with relatively low tree densities rather than the young stands where wind speed within/under their canopies would be weakened by their relatively high tree density (Fig. 5). Overall, as indicated by the total effects (Table 3), \( n_o \) promoted \( Q_n \) for MP, YP, and MA stands. This finding was consistent with previous studies (Chu et al., 2009; Phillips et al., 2010). Whereas the total effect for the young *A. truncatum* stand was \(-0.20\), which was attributed to the depressed \( Q_n \) by the increased VPD that can be enhanced by wind (Fig. 5) through mitigating the nighttime temperature inversion (Green et al., 1989). Nevertheless, all the positive or negative, direct or indirect pathways highlight the considerable impacts of \( n_o \) on \( Q_n \) (Table 3).

4.2.3. Responses to SWC

As the limiting factor of transpiration in this semi-arid mountain was soil water availability (reflected by SWC) rather than atmospheric water demand (reflected by VPD) (according to our unpublished results), increasing SWC in the driest young *A. truncatum* stand promoted daytime transpiration. Reflected by variations of \( Q_n \), the following capacitance utilization/refilling (Sun et al., 2011) can account for \( Q_n \) from less than 25% to nearly 100% (Daley and Phillips, 2006; Pfautsch et al., 2011; Zeppel et al., 2010). Accordingly, \( Q_n \) indirectly increased by the relief of soil water stress through promoted \( Q_n \) in the young *A. truncatum* stand with dry soils (Fig. 5) might be due to enhanced nighttime water recharge for capacitance refilling.

On the contrary, decreasing SWC also directly induced \( Q_n \) in the middle-aged *P. tabuliformis* stand and the young *A. truncatum* stand (Fig. 5), where soils were relatively dry within each species (Fig. 1). These induced \( Q_n \) were more likely to be related to nighttime water recharge rather than to suppressed nighttime transpiration by low SWC. Similar to what we found, following depressed daytime sap flow, \( Q_n \) of *Eucalyptus regnans* gradually increased as well as nighttime water recharge and its proportion in \( Q_n \) when soil drought and heatwave were sustained (Pfautsch and Adams, 2013). Furthermore, considering the direct pathway was irrelevant to the variation in daytime water use (Fig. 5) and the corresponding capacitance utilization/refilling, increasing \( Q_n \) under prolonged water stress might represent nighttime water recharge for embolism repair, cavitation repair, and tissues rehydration (Pfautsch et al., 2011) for avoiding hydraulic failure. Such processes could be facilitated by sufficient root pressure (Yang et al., 2012) since the precipitation received during the study period was 48% higher than the long-term average.

Overall, both increased and decreased SWC in the stands with relatively dry soils within each species had abilities to increase \( Q_n \), which might be due to enhanced nighttime water recharge for two distinct purposes. Accordingly, positive total effects of decreasing water availability on \( Q_n \) were observed (Table 3). This suggests the existence of a comparable mechanism of drought adaption of *Pinus tabuliformis* and *Acer truncatum* in semi-arid regions and is worth further ecophysiological study.

4.3. Implications for the VPD-based partitioning method of \( Q_n \)

Considering \( Q_n \) was not always significantly and positively related with VPD, and could also be affected by \( n_o \), SWC, and their interactions (Fig. 5), some concerns emerged regarding the existing VPD-based partitioning method of \( Q_n \) (Fig. 6a).

4.3.1. Uncertain physiological influences of VPD on nighttime stomatal water loss

Observed contrasting relations between VPD and \( Q_n \) (Fig. 5) lead to gradual realizations of complex influences of VPD on nighttime stomatal conductance (e.g., Cavender-Bares et al., 2007 and Zeppel et al., 2012). Thus, the estimation of nighttime transpiration would be inaccurate if it were treated as simply increasing with rising VPD (Fig. 6b). Moreover, stomatal water loss can also be physiologically regulated by plant endogenous processes, such as underlying circadian rhythms (Cirelli et al., 2015; Resco de Dios et al., 2015, 2013), starch metabolism (Lascève et al., 1997), and stomatal obstructions (Dawson et al., 2007). So far, whether and how these processes would be influenced by environmental conditions, e.g., VPD, remains uncertain (Fig. 6b), therefore, is worth for further investigations.

4.3.2. Overlooked nighttime water loss induced by wind

Given the considerable impacts of \( n_o \) on \( Q_n \) (Table 3) (Chu et al., 2009; Phillips et al., 2010) and on nighttime transpiration (Chu et al., 2009; Daley and Phillips, 2006; Karpul and West, 2016), variations of \( n_o \) should be included in the partitioning methods. In addition, nighttime water loss through cuticles can account for 5–18% of the total (Cavender-Bares et al., 2007; Phillips et al., 2010; Zeppel et al., 2010). This process is affected by wind speed (Baig and Tranquillini, 1980; Grace, 1974) rather than by VPD. Physically, wind speed can accelerate moisture movement around plant layers (Fricke, 2019; Kim et al., 2014), i.e., physical water diffusion through both cuticles and the ‘leaky’ stomata. Therefore, nighttime water loss would be underestimated as a result of the overlooked \( n_o \) (Fig. 6b) under the conditions of high VPD.

4.3.3. Region-specific nighttime water recharge responses to VPD

While enhanced water recharge for avoiding hydraulic failure seems to only occur in semi-arid regions with sustained droughts of soil and atmosphere (Pfautsch et al., 2011), nighttime water recharge for capacitance refilling responses to VPD depends on whether the limitation of daytime transpiration is soil water availability (SWC) or atmospheric.
water demand \((\text{VPD})\) (Fig. 6b). Overall, in regions where atmospheric water demand is the limitation (e.g., humid regions), nighttime water recharge would increase with higher \(\text{VPD}_n\), due to enhanced capacitance utilization/refilling led by increasing daytime \(\text{VPD}\). In the regions where soil water availability is the limitation (e.g., semi-arid regions), it would be promoted by concurrently changing \(\text{VPD}\) and \(\text{SWC}\) through two distinct ways (described in Section 4.2.3). Accordingly, when we differentiate nighttime water recharge from \(Q_n\) based on variations of \(\text{VPD}_n\), habitat-specific patterns should be considered.

### 4.3.4. Importance of biotic controls on \(Q_n\)

For the three environmental factors that have been considered most in previous studies (\(\text{VPD}_n\), \(\text{v}_n\), and \(\text{SWC}\)), their total effect explained less than 55% of the \(Q_n\) variations (Table 3). This finding highlights there exist other factors, for instance, biotic characteristics, that affect variation of \(Q_n\) and its components (Fig. 6b). It has been reported that nighttime stomatal conductance is generally lower for evergreen needle-leaved trees than for deciduous broad-leaved trees (Caird et al., 2007; Hoshika et al., 2018; Zeppel et al., 2014). While nighttime stomatal conductance is similar for closely related species, it tends to be higher for species native to regions having lower annual precipitation than for those native to humid regions (Yu et al., 2019; Zeppel et al., 2019). Ratios of nighttime stomatal conductance to the daytime values (Snyder et al., 2003) are dependent on species rather than local temperature or precipitation (Daley and Phillips, 2006; Hoshika et al., 2018). In addition, young leaves generally have larger nighttime stomatal conductance (Phillips et al., 2010) and cuticular conductance (Zeppel et al., 2010) than mature leaves. The magnitude of nighttime water recharge is associated with tree size (Phillips et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2012a, 2008). Clearly, partitioning \(Q_n\) should take biotic controls on \(Q_n\) components into account.

### 5. Conclusions

We confirmed the hypothesis that nocturnal sap flow is similar between two tree species in a semi-arid region, while its proportion to daily total was also not dependent on tree species and age. However, the hypothesis that nocturnal sap flow is not affected by tree age was rejected. Nocturnal sap flow of middle-aged stands was significantly higher than that of young stands, with diameter at breast height explaining their major variations. Vapor pressure deficit significantly influenced nocturnal sap flow of young stands, and this process was soil water content dependent. Wind speed had a considerable impact on nocturnal sap flow. It indirectly controlled nocturnal sap flow through enhancing nighttime vapor pressure deficit in young stands and directly promoted nocturnal sap flow in middle-aged stands, which had
relatively low tree densities. Meanwhile, both increased and decreased soil water content had abilities to promote nocturnal sap flow in the stands with relatively dry soils. The two distinct mechanisms might be related to enhanced nighttime water recharge for capacitance refilling following high daytime transpiration in the previous day and for avoiding hydraulic failures under prolonged water stress, respectively. Overall, total effects of the three widely concerned environmental factors (i.e., vapor pressure deficit, wind speed, and soil water content) on nocturnal sap flow were limited.

This study highlights uncertain physiological influences of vapor pressure deficit on nighttime stomatal water loss, the nighttime water loss induced by wind, region-specific nighttime water recharge responses to vapor pressure deficit, and the importance of biotic controls on nocturnal sap flow. Therefore, the existing vapor pressure deficit based method for partitioning nighttime transpiration and water recharge at tree and stand levels requires improvements. Our research suggests the importance for future studies to incorporate nocturnal sap flow and the associated ecophysiological and ecophysiological processes into large-scale models. Such effort could then be used to more accurately project vegetation feedbacks as well as changes in carbon and water cycles, especially in the context of climate change, with rising nighttime temperature and more frequent droughts.
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